



















roughness were performed on any of the slopes used for the calibration, a surface roughness of 0.5 feet appears to be reasonable for each of the slopes based on the slope descriptions and pictures provided by the investigators supplying the data."



Example: Relative risk assessment The Oregon Rock Fall Hazard Rating System

				RATING CRITER	RIA AND SCORE		
CAT	EGO	RY	POINTS 3	POINTS 9	POINTS 27	POINTS 81	
SLO	PE H	EIGHT	25 FT	50 FT	75 FT	100 FT	
DIT	CH EF	FECTIVENESS	Good catchment	Moderate catchment	Limited catchment	No catchment	
AVE	RAGE	VEHICLE RISK	25% of the time	50% of the time	75% of the time	100% of the time	
PER	CENT HT DI	OF DECISION STANCE	Adequate site distance, 100% of low design value	Moderate sight distance, 80% of low design value	Limited site distance, 60% of low design value	Very limited sight distance, 40% of low design value	
RO/ PAV	DWA	Y WIDTH INCLUDING HOULDERS	44 feet	36 feet	28 feet	20 feet	
C CHARACTER	ASE 1	STRUCTURAL CONDITION	Discontinuous joints, favorable orientation	Discontinuous joints, random orientation	Discontinuous joints, adverse orientation	Continuous joints, adverse orientation	
	Ö	ROCK FRICTION	Rough, irregular	Undulating	Planar	Clay infilling or slickensided	
EOLOGI	SE 2	STRUCTURAL CONDITION	Few differential erosion features	Occasional erosion features	Many erosion features	Major erosion features	
G	CAS	DIFFERENCE IN EROSION RATES	Small difference	Moderate difference	Large difference	Extreme difference	
BLOCK SIZE			1 FT	2 FT	3 FT	4 FT	
QUA	NTIT	Y OF L/EVENT	3 cubic yards	6 cubic yards	9 cubic yards	12 cubic yards	
CLII OF 1	WATE WATE	AND PRESENCE R ON SLOPE	Low to moderate precipitation; no freezing periods, no water on slope	Moderate precipitation or short freezing periods or intermittent water on slope	High precipitation or long freezing periods or continual water on slope	High precipitation and long freezing periods or continual water on slope and long freezing periods	
ROO	KEAL	L HISTORY	Few falls	Occasional falls	Many falls	Constant falls	

(Pierson et al., 1990)









## Longitudinal encounter probability



 $L_1 = Landslide damage corridor width$  $L_v =$  Vehicle length  $L_d =$  Average vehicle spacing in each lane

Encounter probability (in each lane):

$$P(S;H)_1 = \frac{A}{(A+B)} = \frac{L_v + L_l}{L_d}$$

Encounter probability (both lanes):

$$P(S:H)_2 = 2 \frac{L_v + L_l}{L_d}$$

of 5000 vehicle Rock fall magnitude	Annual cumulative	Annual incremental	Corridor width L	Encounter	Lateral impact	Probability of death	Probability of fatal accident	Return
class <sup>a</sup> (m <sup>3</sup> )	frequency Fi	frequency fh	(m)	P(S:H) (Fig. 14)	P(I:S)	P(L:I)	P(A)	(years)
0.001	100.000	_	_	_			_	_
0.01	36.813	63.187	0.1	0.01	0.1	0.05	0.005	221
0.1	13.552	23.261	0.1	0.01	0.2	0.1	0.007	150
1	4.989	8.563	1	0.02	0.4	0.2	0.011	88
10	1.837	3.152	2	0.02	0.6	0.5	0.018	55
100	0.676	1.160	5	0.03	0.8	0.8	0.020	50
1000	0.249	0.427	10	0.04	1	1	0.017	58
10 000	0.092	0.157	30	0.09	1		0.014	69
Total		0.092	50	0.14	1	1	0.013	/0
appress minut of	tile inngantuuc viass.							
	P(A)	0.025 0.020 0.015 0.010 0.005 0.005	0.007	0.018 0.020	0.017	0.013		
	P(A)	0.025 0.020 0.015 0.010 0.005 0.005	0.007	0.018 0.020	0.017 0.014	0.013		